

**REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed May 19, 2004 has been reviewed and the comments of the Patent and Trademark Office have been considered. Claims 1-30 were pending in the application. Claims 1, 5, 15, and 25 have been amended and no claims have been cancelled or newly added. Therefore, claims 1-30 are pending in the application and presented for consideration.

This Amendment **changes** claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, are presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

In the Office Action, claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 6,463,474 to Fuh et al. (hereafter "Fuh"). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for at least the following reasons.

Fuh disclosed a network device configured to intercept traffic initiated from a client and directed toward a network resource, such as a web site, and to locally authenticate a client by comparing information identifying the client to authentication information stored in the network device. If there is an authentication, the network device is dynamically reconfigured to allow network traffic initiated by the client to reach the network resource. In one embodiment, the Fuh design is used to control access to web sites, such as pornographic web sites, that the company has determined to block. See Column 1, lines 28-30.

Column 12, lines 35-40 were cited as disclosing a plurality of profiles. It is noted that there is no disclosure of multiple profiles for a single user. Accordingly, claims 1, 15 and 25 have amended to include this limitation.

Additionally, there is no disclosure seen in Fuh of a profile defined in applicant's claim 1, as "with each different user profile including a reference to a different composition store and a different graphic store." Reference is made to Fuh at column 9, lines 30-55 as disclosing a composition store and a graphic store. However, Fuh is deficient in that this section only discusses IP addresses and allowable client protocols and external and internal

interfaces. It does not disclose a graphics store or a composition store, as those terms are defined in the specification. See the definitions for "Composition," "Graphics Data," "Composition Store," and "Graphics Store" set forth in the specification. A method for accessing such a profile and a program product for such a profile is set forth in claims 19 and 27.

The dependent claims are also in condition for allowance for at least the same reasons, as discussed above, as the independent claims on which they ultimately depend. In addition, they recite additional patentable features when considered as a whole.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is requested. Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance. If there are any questions regarding the application, or if an examiner's amendment would facilitate the allowance of one or more of the claims, the examiner is courteously invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the local telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

8/18/04

By



FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Telephone: (202) 672-5485

Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

William T. Ellis

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 26,874